# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Master Case No. C00-4599 TEH (JL) DELPHINE ALLEN, et al., Plaintiffs, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RE: PATTERN AND PRACTICE CLAIMS v. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2	I.	PURP	OSE	1
3	II.		NITIONS	_
4	11.	DEFI	1110A5	3
7		A.	Bureau	3
5		B.	Citizen	3
		C.	Command Officer/Commander	3
6		D.	Command Staff	
		E.	Complaint	3
7		F.	Effective Date	
		G.	Employee	
8		H.	Force	
			1. Investigated Use of Force	4
9			Lethal Force     Non-Investigated Use of Force	4
10				
10			<ul><li>4. Reports of Force</li><li>5. Unnecessary Use of Force</li></ul>	<del>1</del> 1
11			6. Use of Force	+ 1
II		I.	Integrity Tests	5
12		Ĵ.	Investigation, Division-Level	5
12		K.	Investigation, Internal	5
13		L.	Manager	
13		M.	Manual of Rules	
14		N.	Member	
`		·O.	Non-Disciplinary Action	
15		P.	OPD Personnel	5
		Q.	Personnel Information Management System (PIMS)	6
16		R.	Serious Misdemeanor	6
		S.	Subject Officer/Employee	
17		T.	Supervisor	
		U.	Vehicle Stop	6
18		V.	Walking Stop	6
[	TTT	INTERI	DNIAT AFEATDE DIVICION (LAD)	6
19	III.	INILL	RNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION (IAD)	5
20		A.	IAD Staffing and Resources	7
20		В.	Timeliness Standards and Compliance with IAD Investigations	, 7
21		C.	IAD Integrity Tests	
_ 1		D.	Complaint Control System for IAD	7
22		E.	Complaint Procedures for IAD	
		F.	Refusal to Accept or Refer Citizen Complaint	1.
23		G.	Methods for Receiving of Citizen Complaints	1
		H.	Classifications of Citizen Complaints	
24		I.	Contact of Citizen Complainant	
		J.	Summary of Citizen Complaints Provided to OPD Personnel	
25		K.	Disclosure of Possible Investigator Bias	4
		L.	Documentation of <u>Pitchess</u> Responses	4
26				

C00-4599 TEH (JL)

1		M. Investigation of Allegations of Manual of Rules Violations Resulting	
		from Lawsuits and Legal Claims	14
2		N. Reviewing Findings and Disciplinary Recommendations	15
2		O. Supporting IAD Process – Supervisor/Managerial Accountability P. Audits, Review and Evaluation of IAD Functions	15
3		P. Audits, Review and Evaluation of IAD Functions	15
4	IV.	SUPERVISORY SPAN OF CONTROL AND UNITY OF COMMAND	15
5		A. Approval of Field-Arrest by Supervisor	15
		B. Unity of Command	16
6		C. Span of Control for Supervisors	16
		D. Members', Employees' and Supervisors' Performance Review	17
7		E. OPD/DA Liaison Commander	17
8		F. Command Staff Rotation	18
0	v.	POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR USE OF FORCE NOTIFICATION AND	
9		REPORTING	18
10		A. Use of Force Reporting Policy	18
		B. Use of Force Investigation and Report Responsibilities	19
11		C. Use of Force Review Board (UFRB)	21
		D. Oleoresin Capsicum Log and Checkout Procedures  E. Use of Force – Investigation of Criminal Misconduct	22
12		E. Use of Force – Investigation of Criminal Misconduct  F. IAD Investigation Priority	22
13		G. Firearms-Discharge Board of Review	22
13		H. Officer-Involved Shooting Investigation	23
14		I. Use of Camcorders	23
•			
15	VI.	REPORTING PROCEDURES	23
.		A. Misconduct	22
16		A. Misconduct  B. Vehicle Stops, Field Investigation and Detentions	
17	•	C. Use of Force Reports – Witness Identification	
1		D. Procedures for Transporting Detainees and Citizens	
18		E. Internal Investigations – Retaliation Against Witnesses	25
		F. Citizens Signing Police Forms	26
19	:	G. Personnel Arrested, Sued and/or Served with Civil or Administrative Process	26
20	VII.	PERSONNEL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PIMS)	27
20	, 11.	TERESTITE IN ORDINATION MENTION MENTION DE LE PROPERTIE DE LE	21
21		A. Purpose	27
		B. Use of Personnel Information Management System (PIMS)	28
22	VIII.	FIELD TRAINING OFFICER PROGRAM	22
23	V 111.	FIELD TRAINING OFFICER PROGRAM	33
-		A. Field Training Program Coordinator	34
24		B. Trainee Rotation	34
		C. FTO Participation Incentives	34
25		D. FTO Candidate Nomination and Requirements	
		E. Decertification	
26		F. FTO Assignment	35

1		G. FTO Evaluation	35
1		H. Daily Evaluation Audit	
2		I. Trainee Officer Assignment	36
-		J. Field Commander and FTO Supervisor Training	36
3		K. Focus Groups	
		L. Consistency of Training	36
4			
	IX.	ACADEMY AND IN-SERVICE TRAINING	37
5		the state of the s	2 =
		A. Academy Training Plan	37
6		B. Professionalism and Ethics	
_		C. Supervisory and Command Training	
7		D. In-Service Training  E. Training Staff Record Review	38
0		E. Training Staff Record Review	38
8	X.	PERSONNEL PRACTICES	3.8
9	1.	TERSONIVEE TRACTICES	50
7		A. Performance Appraisal Policy	38
10		B. Consistency-of-Discipline Policy	40
10		C. Promotional Consideration	41
11			
	XI.	COMMUNITY POLICING PLAN	41
12			
	XII.	DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND ANNUAL MANAGEMENT	
13		REPORT	42
	l .		
	VIII	INDEDENDENT MONITODING	
	XIII.	INDEPENDENT MONITORING	
14	XIII.		42
14	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation	42
14 15	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation	42 42 44
14	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor	42 42 44 45
14 15 16	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor  E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment	42 42 44 45 45
14 15 16 17	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor  E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment  F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes	42 42 44 45 45
14 15 16	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor  E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment  F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes  G. Responsibilities and Authority	42 42 44 45 45 45
14 15 16 17	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations	42 44 44 45 45 45 45
14 15 16 17	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports	42 44 45 45 45 45 45 46
114 115 116 117 118	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports. J. Meetings	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47
114 115 116 117 118	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 49
114 115 116 117 118	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 51
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 51
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files O. Access to "Whistle Blowers"	42 42 44 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files O. Access to "Whistle Blowers" P. Testimony	42 44 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor  E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment  F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes  G. Responsibilities and Authority  H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations  I. Reports  J. Meetings  K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff  L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information  M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files  N. Access to Intelligence Files  O. Access to "Whistle Blowers"  P. Testimony  Q. Confidential Records Maintenance  R. Court Resolution of Disputes	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 52 52 52 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	XIII.	A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files O. Access to "Whistle Blowers" P. Testimony Q. Confidential Records Maintenance	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 52 52 52 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221		A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports. J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files O. Access to "Whistle Blowers" P. Testimony Q. Confidential Records Maintenance R. Court Resolution of Disputes S. Petitions for Relief.	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 52 52 52 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223		A. Monitor Selection and Compensation  B. Period and Appointment  C. Staffing  D. Replacement of Monitor  E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment  F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes  G. Responsibilities and Authority  H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations  I. Reports  J. Meetings  K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff  L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information  M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files  N. Access to Intelligence Files  O. Access to "Whistle Blowers"  P. Testimony  Q. Confidential Records Maintenance  R. Court Resolution of Disputes	42 44 45 45 45 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 52 52 52 52
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 223 224		A. Monitor Selection and Compensation B. Period and Appointment C. Staffing D. Replacement of Monitor E. City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment F. Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes G. Responsibilities and Authority H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations I. Reports. J. Meetings K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff L. Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files N. Access to Intelligence Files O. Access to "Whistle Blowers" P. Testimony Q. Confidential Records Maintenance R. Court Resolution of Disputes S. Petitions for Relief.	42 44 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 52 52 52 53

B. Compliance Audits and Integrity Tests  XV. HOUSEKEEPING PROVISIONS  A. Reports and Records to be Maintained by the OPD  B. Implementation and Jurisdiction  C. Meet-and-Confer Process	54
A. Reports and Records to be Maintained by the OPD	54
A. Reports and Records to be Maintained by the OPD  B. Implementation and Jurisdiction	54 55 57
	57
5 APPENDIX	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21   22   22   23   24   25   25   25   25   25   25   25	
23	٠.
24	
25	
26	

1

2

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

The City of Oakland (hereinafter referred to as "the City") and the plaintiffs share a mutual interest in promoting effective and respectful policing. The parties join in entering into this Settlement Agreement (hereinafter "Agreement") to promote police integrity and prevent conduct that deprives persons of the rights, privileges and immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. The overall objective of this document is to provide for the expeditious implementation, initially, with the oversight of an outside monitoring body (hereinafter "the Monitor"), of the best available practices and procedures for police management in the areas of supervision, training and accountability mechanisms, and to enhance the ability of the Oakland Police Department (hereinafter "the Department" or "OPD") to protect the lives, rights, dignity and property of the community it serves.

This document is intended as the basis for an agreement to be entered into between the City and Plaintiffs in the Delphine Allen, et al. v. City of Oakland, et al., consolidated case number C00-4599 TEH (JL) otherwise known as the "Riders" cases. This document shall constitute the entire agreement of the parties. No prior or contemporaneous communications, oral or written, or prior drafts shall be relevant or admissible for purposes of determining the meaning of any provisions herein in any litigation or any other proceedings.

In the Riders cases, the plaintiffs have alleged that the Oakland Police Department was deliberately indifferent to, or otherwise ratified or encouraged, an ongoing practice of misconduct by the defendant officers to violate the plaintiffs' civil rights. Plaintiffs further alleged that the Oakland Police Department was deliberately indifferent to and or negligent in its hiring, training, supervision and discipline of its police officers, and that such indifference caused the alleged violations of the plaintiffs' constitutional rights. All such claims are hereinafter referred to as the "pattern and practice" claims. The City of Oakland defendants expressly deny such allegations asserted in the consolidated Riders complaints.

Nothing in this Agreement, the complaints filed in this action or the negotiation process

leading to the settlement of the pattern and practice claims shall be construed as an admission of liability or evidence of liability under any federal, state or local law, including 42 U.S.C. §§1983, 14141, 2000d and/or 3789d (c).

Subject to all plaintiffs settling their monetary damage claims, this Agreement resolves all pattern and practice claims in the Riders complaints. Upon termination of this Agreement, as set forth in Section XV, paragraph B (3), plaintiffs agree to dismiss such claims with prejudice.

Nothing in this document is intended to alter the lawful authority of OPD personnel to use reasonable and necessary force, effect arrests and file charges, conduct searches or make seizures, or otherwise fulfill their law enforcement obligations to the people of the City of Oakland in a manner consistent with the requirements of the Constitution and laws of the United States and the State of California.

Nothing in this Agreement is intended to alter the existing collective bargaining agreement between the City and OPD member/employee bargaining units or to impair the collective bargaining rights of OPD member/employee bargaining units under state law or local law. The City recognizes that the implementation of certain provisions of this Agreement may require compliance with meet-and-confer processes. The City shall comply with any such legal requirements and shall do so with the goal of concluding such processes in a manner consistent with the purposes of this Agreement and to otherwise permit the City to timely implement this Agreement. The City shall give appropriate notice of this Agreement to the OPD member/employee bargaining units to allow such processes to begin, as to this Agreement, as filed with the Court.

This Agreement is binding upon the parties hereto, by and through their officials, agents, employees, successors and attorneys of record. This Agreement is enforceable only by the parties, as described elsewhere in this document. No person or entity is intended to be a third-party beneficiary of the provisions of this Agreement for the purposes of any civil, criminal, or administrative action, and accordingly, no person or entity may assert any claim or right as a beneficiary or protected class under this Agreement. This Agreement is not intended to impair or

All members of the Department holding the rank of Lieutenant or higher.

#### E. Complaint

Any complaint regarding OPD services, policy or procedure, claims for damages (which allege member/employee misconduct); and any allegation of possible misconduct by an OPD member or employee. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "complaint" does not include any allegation of employment discrimination.

#### F. **Effective Date**

The date this Agreement was entered by the Court.

/// 26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# G. <u>Employee</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Every person, other than members, appointed or assigned to the Department in any permanent or temporary civil service classification.

## H. Force

# 1. Investigated Use of Force

That level of force which requires an investigation and the preparation of a Use of Force Report (TF-967) in accordance with the provisions of Departmental General Order (DGO) K-3, "The Use of Force" and K-4, "Reporting and Investigating the Use of Force."

## 2. Lethal Force

Any force reasonably likely to cause death or serious physical injury with a reasonable probability of causing death.

# 3. Non-Investigated Use of Force

Any use of force by OPD personnel to effect an arrest or gain control of a person not rising to the level of force defined in Section II, paragraph H(1), of this Agreement.

### 4. Reports of Force

Uses of force – lethal, investigated, and non-investigated – shall be reported as outlined in Section V, "Policy and Procedures for Use of Force Notification and Report."

## 5. Unnecessary Use of Force

Any use of force that is not reasonably necessary in light of the totality of information available to and circumstances confronting the member (see DGO K-3, "The Use of Force").

# 6. Use of Force

Any physical or mechanical coercion used by OPD personnel to defend themselves or others, or to otherwise affect, influence, or persuade an individual to comply with an order. This includes, but is not limited to, hand strikes, kicks, leg sweeps, and takedowns. The drawing of and intentional pointing of a firearm at another person shall be considered as use of force for the

# I. <u>Integrity Tests</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Targeted or random integrity tests, or "stings," designed to identify and investigate OPD personnel who are engaged in at-risk behavior, to measure compliance with Department directives and orders, and/or the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

# J. <u>Investigation, Division-Level</u>

An investigation, by the subject member/employee's organizational unit, into allegations of that member/employee's violation of the law or Departmental rules, regulations or policies.

# K. Investigation, Internal

An investigation, by a Department investigator, into allegations of a violation of the law or Departmental rules, regulations or policies.

# L. Manager

An employee of the Department in charge of a Division or Section.

# M. Manual of Rules (MOR)

The Department publication which provides additional specificity to the standards of conduct embodied in the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics and the Department's Statement of Values.

# N. Member

Any person appointed to the Department as a full-time, regularly salaried peace officer. For the purposes of this Agreement, Rangers are included in this definition.

### O. Non-Disciplinary Action

Action, other than discipline, taken by a superior, commander, or manager to enable or encourage a subordinate to improve, modify, or correct his or her work performance.

## P. OPD Personnel

All members, employees, Reserve Officers, volunteers, and other persons working under the direction of the Department.

# Q. <u>Personnel Information Management System (PIMS)</u>

The computerized complaint-tracking and select-indicator system, as designed within a relational database, for maintaining, integrating and retrieving data necessary for supervision and management of OPD and its personnel.

# R. Serious Misdemeanor

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any misdemeanor crime the commission of which would preclude a member or employee from continuing to successfully complete his/her responsibilities as a member/employee of the Department. These crimes involve those that negatively impact the integrity and values of the Department. Examples are those that involve sex, theft, possession of drugs and those listed in California Penal Code §12021(c)(1), "Unlawful Possession of a Firearm."

# S. Subject Officer/Employee

The member or employee, under an investigation, against whom allegations of a violation of the law or Departmental rules, regulations or policies have been made.

# T. Supervisor

A member or employee of the Department assigned to a position requiring the exercise of immediate supervision over the activities of other members and employees.

# U. Vehicle Stop

Any instance in which a member directs a civilian operating a vehicle of any type (including bicycles, mopeds, motorized scooters, etc.) to stop, and the driver is detained for any length of time.

## V. Walking Stop

Any instance in which a member detains a person (i.e., the person is not free to leave) who is not in or on a vehicle.

# III. INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION (IAD)

Within 616 days from the effective date of this Agreement, the Chief of Police shall revise Departmental policy and procedures and develop a manual for conducting complaint investigations. Training shall be provided to ensure all personnel have received, understand, and comply with new

do not rise to the level of misconduct as described in Section III, paragraph H (2). This process

26 the

shall document the receipt of the complaint, date, time, location, name or the person making the complaint, the name of the person receiving the complaint, how the matter was resolved and that the person making the complaint was advised of the formal complaint process. The documentation shall be forwarded to IAD for review. If the informal complaint resolution process fails to resolve the complaint or if the person making the complaint still wishes to make a formal complaint, the person receiving the complaint shall initiate the formal complaint process pursuant to Section III, paragraph E. OPD personnel shall not unduly influence persons making a complaint to consent to the informal complaint resolution process.

- 2. IAD shall establish a central control system for complaints and Departmental requests to open investigations. Every complaint received by any supervisor or commander shall be reported to IAD on the day of receipt. If IAD is not available, IAD shall be contacted at the start of the next business day. Each complaint shall be assigned an Internal Affairs case number and be entered into a complaint database with identifying information about the complaint. OPD personnel shall notify IAD and the Chief of Police, or designee, as soon as practicable, in cases likely to generate unusual public interest.
- 3. Criteria shall be established which must be met prior to moving, from "open" to "closed," any investigation in the complaint database.

# E. <u>Complaint Procedures for IAD</u>

1. With the exception of the provisions listed in paragraph E (2), below, OPD personnel who become aware that a citizen wishes to file a complaint shall bring such citizen immediately, or as soon as circumstances permit, to a supervisor or IAD or summon a supervisor to the scene. If there is a delay of greater than three (3) hours, the reason for such delay shall be documented by the person receiving the complaint. In the event that such a complainant refuses to travel to a supervisor or to wait for one, the member/employee involved shall make all reasonable attempts to obtain identification, including address and phone number, as well as a description of the allegedly wrongful conduct and offending personnel, from the complainant and any witnesses.

This information, as well as a description of the complaint, shall immediately, or as soon as circumstances permit, be provided, in writing, to the unit commander or, in his/her absence, the Watch Commander, and shall be treated as a complaint, as specified in this paragraph. The commander notified of the complaint shall ensure that IAD is notified.

- 2. Except for complaints being handled by the informal complaint resolution process in Section III, paragraph D (1), inmates being processed at or held in the Oakland City Jail shall have the opportunity to file a complaint against an arresting officer or any other member/employee of OPD.
- a. Within 150 days from the effective date of this Agreement, a police complaint form shall be developed, and copies of that form shall be available at the Jail on a 24-hour basis. Any inmate requesting a complaint form from any member/employee shall be given a copy of the form immediately, or as soon as circumstances permit. If the delay is greater than three (3) hours, the reason for such delay shall be documented by the person or Watch Supervisor delivering the form. The complaint form shall be printed on three-part carbonless paper. The three (3) parts to be completed and distributed as follows:
  - The white copy (original) shall be given to the shift supervisor at the Jail, who shall call IAD with the complaint information and then send the original form to IAD. The phone call shall be documented on the form, by the shift supervisor.
  - 2) The canary copy shall be forwarded to the Jail Commander, who shall ensure that any such written complaint received is delivered and logged with IAD.
  - The pink copy shall be given to the inmate completing the form, for his or her records.
- b. OPD personnel who become aware that an inmate wishes to file a complaint shall inform the inmate about the complaint process and provide the individual with a copy of the

complaint form.

- 3. In each complaint investigation, OPD shall consider all relevant evidence, including circumstantial, direct and physical evidence, and make credibility determinations, if feasible. OPD shall make efforts to resolve, by reference to physical evidence, and/or use of follow-up interviews and other objective indicators, inconsistent statements among witnesses.
- 4. OPD shall develop provisions for the permanent retention of all notes, generated and/or received by OPD personnel in the case file.
- 5. OPD shall resolve each allegation in a complaint investigation using the "preponderance of the evidence" standard. Each allegation shall be resolved by making one of the following dispositions: Unfounded, Sustained, Exonerated, Not Sustained, or Filed. The Department shall use the following criteria for determining the appropriate disposition:
  - a. Unfounded: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did not occur. This finding shall also apply when individuals named in the complaint were not involved in the alleged act.
  - b. Sustained: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did occur and was in violation of law and/or Oakland Police Department rules, regulations, or policies.
  - c. Exonerated: The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did occur, but was in accord with law and with all Oakland Police Department rules, regulations, or policies.
  - d. *Not Sustained:* The investigation did not disclose sufficient evidence to determine whether or not the alleged conduct occurred.
  - e. Filed: The investigation cannot be completed due to the unavailability of a witness or victim, or, the administrative investigation has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of criminal charges against the member or employee. "Filed" is not a final disposition, but an indication that a case is

26

pending further developments that all allow the completion of investigation.

All "Filed" cases shall be reviewed quarterly, by the IAD Commander or his/her designee, to determine whether the conditions that prevented investigation and final disposition have changed.

6. Any member or employee who is a subject of an internal investigation, as well as any other member or employee on the scene of an incident at which misconduct has been alleged by a complainant, shall be interviewed.

# F. Refusal to Accept or Refer Citizen Complaint

Refusal to accept a citizen complaint, failure to refer a citizen to IAD (when that citizen can be reasonably understood to want to make a citizen's complaint), discouraging a person from filing a complaint, and/or knowingly providing false, inaccurate or incomplete information about IAD shall be grounds for discipline for any OPD member or employee.

# G. Methods for Receiving Citizen Complaints

OPD shall take the following steps to strengthen procedures for receiving citizen complaints:

- 1. A recordable, toll-free complaint hotline shall be established. The hotline, staffed by OPD personnel, shall have an advisement that the call is being recorded.
- Guidelines for filing a citizen's complaint shall be prominently posted and informational brochures shall be made available in key Departmental and municipal locations.
- 3. OPD shall accept anonymous complaints. To the extent possible, OPD shall ask anonymous complainants for corroborating evidence. OPD shall investigate anonymous complaints to the extent reasonably possible to determine whether the allegation can be resolved.
- 4. OPD personnel shall have available complaint forms and informational brochures on the complaint process in their vehicles at all times while on duty.

4

10

11 12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

2425

recorded, but not transcribed except at the request of the subject member/employee, complainant, command staff, Monitor, or the OIG.

Class II offenses shall include all other misconduct situations, such as rudeness, use of obscenities, lack of attention, timeliness of response, or other performance deficiencies. Class II investigations shall be conducted by the appropriate supervisor or manager, unless otherwise directed by the Chief of Police. Statements and interviews from OPD personnel in Class II investigations shall be tape recorded, but not transcribed except at the request of the subject member/employee, complainant, command staff, Monitor, or the OIG. When a unit commander or the assigned investigator encounters a Class I violation during a Class II, division-level investigation, he/she shall contact the IAD Commander. The IAD Commander shall consult with the Chief of Police to determine whether the investigation shall be forwarded to IAD or remain in the unit in which the Class II violation was originally assigned.

# I. Contact of Citizen Complainant

Citizen complainants shall be contacted, as soon as possible, by IAD or the investigator assigned to the investigation, to determine the nature, scope and severity of the complaint, as well as to identify potential witnesses and/or evidence as quickly as possible.

# J. Summary of Citizen Complaints Provided to OPD Personnel

- 1. The investigator shall provide the member/employee with a brief synopsis of any complaint alleged against them, but shall not allow the member/employee to read the complaint itself or to review citizen or other witness statements prior to the member/employee's interview. Such synopses shall be preserved within the IAD file.
- 2. When notifying a member/employee that a complaint has been filed against him or her, IAD shall also notify the subject's immediate supervisor and commander.
- 3. Upon completion of the IAD investigation and issuance of a final report by IAD, the subject member/employee shall have access to the underlying data on which the report is based, including all tape-recorded interviews, transcripts and investigator's notes.

# K. <u>Disclosure of Possible Investigator Bias</u>

OPD shall establish a policy requiring that investigators (this covers IAD and field investigators) disclose relationships which might lead to a perception of bias regarding the subject(s) of any investigation, including such as family relationships, outside business relationships, romantic relationships, close work or personal friendships. In cases where it is clear that the nature of the relationship could be perceived to compromise the investigative process, the involved investigator(s) shall recuse him/herself from the investigation. In more ambiguous situations, the investigator(s) involved shall make full disclosure, in writing, to his/her supervisor. In the case of a Class I investigation, that supervisor shall then make a recommendation to the IAD or, in the case of a division-level investigation, the unit commander. The IAD, unit commander or, as appropriate, his/her superior, shall replace the investigator in question with another investigator.

# L. <u>Documentation of Pitchess Responses</u>

OPD shall implement an additional check on responses to <u>Pitchess</u> discovery motion responses.

# M. <u>Investigation of Allegations of Manual of Rules Violations Resulting from Lawsuits and Legal Claims</u>

OPD shall investigate allegations of *Manual of Rules* violations resulting from lawsuits involving misconduct and legal claims, and/or tort claims involving Class I and Class II violations, treating them in the same manner as other citizens' complaints.

- 1. The litigation and IA processes shall be handled separately to avoid either process being unnecessarily compromised should a personnel investigation be delayed, halted, not undertaken, or in any way modified because the underlying matter is in litigation.
- 2. Personnel investigations shall not be delayed in any manner because the underlying incident has resulted in litigation.

25 //

26 ///

# N. Reviewing Findings and Disciplinary Recommendations

Except upon written authorization from the Chief of Police, the operational chain of command, from lieutenant up, shall be responsible for reviewing recommended findings and making disciplinary recommendations in sustained internal investigations.

# O. Supporting IAD Process - Supervisor/Managerial Accountability

Supervisors and commanders, as well as other managers in the chain of command, shall be held accountable for supporting the IAD process. If an IAD investigation finds that a supervisor or manager should have reasonably determined that a member/employee committed or violated a Class I offense, then that supervisor or manager shall be held accountable, through the Department's administrative discipline process, for failure to supervise, failure to review, and/or failure to intervene.

# P. Audit, Review and Evaluation of IAD Functions

OPD and the Monitor shall conduct audits, reviews and evaluations as specified in Section XIII, paragraph H, and Section XIV, paragraph B.

# IV. SUPERVISORY SPAN OF CONTROL AND UNITY OF COMMAND

Within 260 days from the effective date of this Agreement, the Chief of Police shall, based on contemporary police standards and best practices, develop and implement policies to address the following standards and provisions:

# A. Approval of Field-Arrest by Supervisor

- 1. OPD shall develop standards for field supervisors that encourage or mandate close and frequent supervisory contacts with subordinates on calls for service. The policies developed in this Section shall require supervisors to respond to the scene of (at least) the following categories of arrest, unless community unrest or other conditions at the scene make this impractical:
  - a. Felonies;
  - b. Narcotics-related possessory offenses;
  - c. Where there is an investigated use of force;

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

Penal Code §§69, 148 and 243(b)(c). d.

2. The responding supervisor shall review the arrest documentation to determine whether probable cause for the arrest, or reasonable suspicion for the stop, is articulated, to ensure that available witnesses are identified, to approve or disapprove the arrest in the field, and to log the time of the contact.

### **Unity of Command** В.

- With rare exceptions (justified on a case-by-case basis), each member or employee of the Department shall have a single, clearly identified supervisor or manager.
- In general, sergeants should work the same schedule and have the same days off as 2. the individuals they supervise.

### C. Span of Control for Supervisors

Within 90 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement a policy to ensure appropriate supervision of its Area Command Field Teams. The policy shall provide that:

- Under normal conditions, OPD shall assign one primary sergeant to each Area 1. Command Field Team, and, in general, (with certain exceptions) that supervisor's span of control shall not exceed eight (8) members.
- 2. During day-to-day operations, in the absence of the primary supervisor (e.g., due to sickness, vacation, compensatory time off, schools, and other leaves), the Watch Commander shall determine, based on Department policy and operational needs, whether or not to backfill for the absence of the sergeant on leave.
- If a special operation, If a special operation, (e.g., Beat Feet, Special Traffic 3. Offenders Program (STOP), etc.) requires more than eight (8) members, the Area Commander or Watch Commander shall determine the reasonable span of control for the supervisor.
- If long-term backfill requires the loan or transfer of a supervisor from another unit, 4.

D.

Members', Employees' and Supervisors' Performance Review

- 1. Every OPD commander/manager shall meet at least twice per year with each of his/her members, employees and supervisors, to coach them regarding their strengths and weaknesses. These meetings shall be documented. If a member, employee or supervisor exhibits a performance problem, the commander/manager shall meet with him/her in accordance with the provision of Section VII, paragraph B (7)-(8), of this Agreement. Commanders/managers shall meet promptly with affected subordinates regarding complaints or commendations received.
- 2. Supervisors shall meet individually with members and employees at least twice per month for informal performance reviews. Supervisors shall maintain a record of these informal reviews.
- 3. Supervisors and commanders/managers shall be responsible for identifying patterns of improper behavior of their subordinates. In particular, Bureau of Field Operations sergeants and lieutenants shall scrutinize arrests and uses of force that have been historically associated with police misconduct, including arrests for very small amounts of drugs, arrests pursuant to searches with no underlying offense leading to the search, and Penal Code §§69, 148 and 243(b)(c) arrests with no underlying offense. Failure to identify such patterns and instances of misconduct when the supervisors or commanders/managers knew or reasonably should have known of the misconduct shall constitute grounds for discipline.

# E. OPD/DA Liaison Commander

Within 60 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall establish a

Management-Level Liaison (MLL) to the courts, the District Attorney's Office, and the Public

Defender's Office. This unit or person shall ensure that cases which are lost or dropped due to bad reports, defective search warrants, granted 'Motion to Suppress,' contradictory evidence or testimony, or any other indication of performance problems or misconduct, are tracked. The OPD MLL shall be required to meet and cooperate with the Monitor. The DA's and PD's Offices may

attend meetings, as they deem appropriate.

# F. Command Staff Rotation

The Chief of Police is committed to the regular rotation of Departmental command staff as consistent with best practices in law enforcement agency management, based upon the Department's immediate needs and best interests, including:

- 1. Special skills needed for an assignment;
- 2. Career development; and
- 3. Increasing Departmental efficiency and effectiveness.

# V. POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR USE OF FORCE NOTIFICATION AND REPORTING

Within 390 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement a revised policy, and appropriate forms, regarding use of force reporting and review.

# A. Use of Force Reporting Policy

The policy shall require that:

- 1. Members/employees notify their supervisor as soon as practicable following any investigated use of force or allegation of excessive use of force.
- 2. In every investigated use of force incident, every member/employee using force, and every member/employee on the scene of the incident at the time the force was used, shall report all uses of force on the appropriate form, unless otherwise directed by the investigating supervisor.
- 3. OPD personnel document, on the appropriate form, any use of force and/or the drawing and intentional pointing of a firearm at another person.
- 4. A supervisor respond to the scene upon notification of an investigated use of force or an allegation of excessive use of force, unless community unrest or other conditions makes this impracticable.
- 5. OPD notify:

2)

1

Whether proper tactics were used, and

all internal investigations;

- 6. Authorize the UFRB to recommend to the Chief of Police additional use of force training or changes in policies or tactics, or additional standards, investigatory policies, or training for use of force investigations;
- 7. Require the UFRB to conduct an annual review of use of force cases examined, so as to identify any patterns of use of force practices (including K-3) that may have policy or training implications, and thereafter, issue a report to the Chief of Police;
- 8. Require that the UFRB membership include, at a minimum, one member from the Training Division, one member from the Field Training Officer program, and either the Bureau of Field Operations Deputy Chief or his/her designee;
- 9. Minimally, that one member of the UFRB shall be replaced at least annually.

# D. Oleoresin Capsicum Log and Checkout Procedures

OPD shall continue to keep a log of Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray canisters checked out and used by any member or authorized employee. The log shall be computerized and electronically accessible within one year of entry of this Agreement and regular reports shall be prepared and distributed.

# E. <u>Use of Force — Investigation of Criminal Misconduct</u>

OPD shall develop a policy to report, as soon as possible, any use of force situation, citizen complaint or other member-/employee-involved action in which there is apparent evidence of criminal misconduct by a member/employee to the Alameda County District Attorney's Office for their review and collaboration.

# F. IAD Investigation Priority

OPD shall coordinate its administrative investigation of a member/employee with the Alameda County District Attorney's Office if a criminal proceeding is potentially viable. When OPD initiates an interview or interrogation of OPD personnel and it appears that the subject may be charged with a crime, or the subject asserts his or her Fifth Amendment rights on grounds that the

# G. Firearms-Discharge Board of Review

- 1. A Firearms-Discharge Board of Review shall be convened for every officer-involved firearms discharge, as defined in Departmental General Order K-3. The Board shall have access to tapes and/or transcripts of interviews of all personnel on the scene, including citizen witnesses, and shall be empowered to call in any OPD personnel it believes should testify.
- 2. OPD shall continue the policies and practices for the conduct of Firearms Discharge Boards of Review, as contained in Special Order 5095 (July 13, 2001).

# H. Officer-Involved Shooting Investigation

OPD shall develop a policy to ensure that, in every officer-involved shooting in which a person is struck, Homicide and Internal Affairs investigators respond to the scene. The shooting investigation shall be conducted in partnership with, and when deemed appropriate by, the Alameda County District Attorney's Office. Interviews of the subject officer(s) shall be conducted jointly with the appropriate staff from Homicide and the Office of the District Attorney. The District Attorney and City Attorney shall be notified in accordance with the provisions of Section V, paragraph A (5), of this Agreement. All evidentiary material shall be duplicated and provided to the Alameda County District Attorney's Office, the Internal Affairs Division, and the City Attorney's Office.

## I. Use of Camcorders

OPD shall explore the use and cost-effectiveness of camcorders in Patrol vehicles.

### VI. REPORTING PROCEDURES

Within 154 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall establish policy and procedures for the following:

## A. Misconduct

OPD personnel shall report misconduct by any other member or employee of the

Department to their supervisor and/or IAD. The policy shall state that corrective action and or

Whether a search was conducted, and outcome of search;

f.

26

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

- g. Offense categories (felony, misdemeanor or infraction).
- 2. This data shall be entered into a database that can be summarized, searched, queried and reported by personnel authorized by OPD.
- 3. The development of this policy shall not pre-empt any other pending or future policies and or policy development, including but not limited to "Promoting Cooperative Strategies to Prevent Racial Profiling."

### C. Use of Force Reports - Witness Identification

- OPD shall require, by policy, that every Use of Force Report, whether felonies were involved or not, include the names, telephone numbers, and addresses of witnesses to the incident, when such information is reasonably available to the members/employees on the scene.
- 2. In situations in which there are no known witnesses, the report shall specifically state this fact. Policy shall further require that in situations in which witnesses were present but circumstances prevented the author of the report from determining the identification or phone number or address of those witnesses, the report shall state the reasons why the member/employee was unable to obtain that information. Reports shall also include the names of all other members/employees of OPD witnessing the incident.

### **Procedures for Transporting Detainees and Citizens** D.

- 1. OPD shall continue to require every member and employee to log in and log out on the radio when transporting a detainee or any other civilian. The radio report shall include time, mileage, location, purpose of transport, gender of individual being transported, and identification of the member or employee involved in the transport.
- This requirement does not apply to "wagons" engaged exclusively in the transport of 2. prisoners. These "wagons" shall continue to comply with the provisions of Departmental General Order (DGO) O-2, "Transportation of Prisoners and Persons in Custody."

### Internal Investigations - Retaliation Against Witnesses E.

OPD shall prohibit retaliation against any member or employee of the Department who:

3

16

24 25

- 1. Reports misconduct by any other member or employee, or
- 2. Serves as a witness in any proceeding against a member or employee.

The policy prohibiting retaliation shall acknowledge that retaliation may be informal and subtle, as well as blatant, and shall define retaliation as a violation for which dismissal is the presumptive disciplinary penalty. Supervisors, commanders and managers shall be held accountable for the conduct of their subordinates in this regard. If supervisors, commanders or managers of persons engaging in retaliation knew or reasonably should have known that the behavior was occurring, they shall be subject to the investigative, and if appropriate, the disciplinary process.

### F. **Citizens Signing Police Forms**

OPD personnel shall be required to ensure that citizens who sign written statements on a Statement form draw a diagonal stripe from the end of the written narrative to the bottom of the page, and sign along that stripe. Statements taken on offense reports shall be signed by the citizen immediately following the statement.

### G. Personnel Arrested, Sued and/or Served with Civil or Administrative Process

- 1. OPD shall continue its policy requiring OPD personnel to report, to IAD directly and through his/her chain of command, within 72 hours, any occurrence in which that member or employee has been:
  - Arrested; or a.
  - Sued and/or served with civil or administrative process related to his/her b. employment or containing allegations which rise to the level of a Manual of Rules violation.
- 2. OPD shall develop a policy requiring OPD personnel to report to the Chief of Police, through his/her chain of command, within 72 hours, that they have been served with civil or administrative process, including tort claims, financial claims, whenever applying for a transfer to or serving in:

23

24

25

26

- a. The Gang Unit, Vice/Narcotics Section, Intelligence Division or Internal Affairs Division;
- b. An assignment that may tend to indicate a conflict of interest with respect to the performance of his/her official duties; or
- c. A specialized unit in which there is a strong possibility that bribes or other improper inducements may be offered.
- 3. For the purposes of this Agreement, allegations involving "financial claims" mean civil or administrative process claims relating to judgments for collection related to property seizures, taxes, judgments for money owed, debt as a debtor or creditor, filing bankruptcy, garnishments, liens, attachments on bank or savings accounts, spousal support, child support and/or foreclosure.

# VII. PERSONNEL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PIMS)

### A. Purpose

Within 635 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall enhance its existing complaint-tracking and select indicator systems so that it has a fully implemented, computerized relational database for maintaining, integrating and retrieving data necessary for supervision and management of OPD and its personnel. This data shall be used by OPD: to promote professional police practices; to manage the risk of police misconduct; and to evaluate and audit the performance of OPD members of all ranks, employees, and OPD units, subunits and shifts. PIMS shall contain information on the following:

- 1. All uses of force required to be reported by OPD;
- 2. OC spray canister check-out log (see Section V, paragraph D)
- 3. All police-canine deployments;
- 4. All officer-involved shootings and firearms discharges, both on duty and off duty;
- 5. All on-duty vehicle pursuits, traffic accidents and traffic violations;
- 6. All citizen complaints, whether made to OPD or CPRB;

# include the following elements:

- 1. Within 90 days from the effective date of this Agreement, the Chief of Police shall designate a PIMS Administration Unit. The PIMS Administration Unit shall be responsible for administering PIMS and, no less frequently than quarterly, shall notify, in writing, the appropriate Deputy Chief and the responsible commander/manager of an identified member/employee who meets the PIMS criteria.
- 2. The Department shall maintain all the PIMS data for at least five (5) years.
- 3. The Monitor, Inspector General and Compliance Coordinator shall have full access to PIMS to the extent necessary for the performance of their duties under this Agreement and consistent with Section XIII, paragraph K, and Section XIV of this Agreement.
- 4. PIMS, and the PIMS data and reports are confidential and not public information.
- 5. On a quarterly basis, commanders/managers shall review and analyze all relevant system information concerning personnel under their command, to detect any pattern or series of incidents which may indicate that a member/employee, supervisor, or group of members/employees under his/her supervision may be engaging in at-risk behavior. The policy shall define specific criteria for determining when a member/employee or group of members/employees may be engaging in at-risk behavior.
- 6. Notwithstanding any other provisions of the PIMS policy to be developed, the policy shall include, at a minimum, a requirement that any member/employee who receives three (3) or more citizen complaints during an 30-month period, or any member/employee who has any combination of five (5) or more citizen complaints, Penal Code §§69, 148 and 243(b)(c) arrests within a 30-month period, shall be identified as a subject for PIMS intervention. For the purposes of these two criteria,

26

- a single incident shall be counted as "one" even if there are multiple citizen complaints arising from the incident or combined with an arrest for Penal Code §§69, 148 or 243(b)(c).
- 7. When review and analysis of data indicate that a member/employee may be engaging in at-risk behavior, commanders/managers shall undertake a more intensive review of the member/employee's performance and personnel history. Members/employees shall be required to attend a documented, non-disciplinary PIMS review meeting with their designated commander/manager and supervisor. The purpose of this meeting shall be to review the member/employee's performance and recommend appropriate remedial action, if necessary. After discussing the issues, the member/employee shall be dismissed from the meeting, and the designated commander/manager and the member/employee's immediate supervisor shall remain and discuss the situation and the member/employee's response. The primary responsibility for any corrective action required should be placed upon the supervisor. Remedial action may include additional training, reassignment, additional supervision, coaching or personal counseling. The performance of members/ employees subject to PIMS review shall be monitored by their designated commander/manager for the specified period of time following the initial meeting, unless released early or extended (as outlined in Section VII, paragraph B (8)).
- 8. Members/employees who meet the PIMS criteria specified in Section VII, paragraph B (6), shall be monitored for a minimum of 12 months. There shall be two (2) documented, mandatory follow-up meetings with the member/ employee's immediate supervisor and designated commander/manager: The first at three (3) months and the second at one (1) year. Member/employees subject to PIMS review for minor, easily correctable performance deficiencies may be dismissed from the jurisdiction of PIMS upon the written approval of the member/employee's

responsible Deputy Chief, following a recommendation in writing from the member/employee's immediate supervisor. This may occur at the three-month follow-up meeting or at any time thereafter, as justified by reviews of the member/employee's performance. When a member/employee is not discharged from PIMS jurisdiction at the one-year follow-up meeting, PIMS jurisdiction shall be extended, in writing, for some discrete period in three-month increments at the discretion of the member/employee's responsible Deputy Chief. When PIMS jurisdiction is extended beyond the minimum one-year review period, additional review meetings involving the member/employee, the member/ employee's designated commander/manager and immediate supervisor, shall take place no less frequently than every three (3) months.

9. On a quarterly basis, OPD commanders/managers shall review and analyze relevant data in PIMS about subordinate commanders and/or managers and supervisors regarding their ability to adhere to policy and address at-risk behavior. All commanders/managers shall conduct quarterly unit-integrity meetings with their supervisory staffs for the purpose of assessing and sharing information about the state of the unit and identifying potential or actual integrity-control problems within the unit. These meetings shall be scheduled to follow-up on supervisors' assessments of their subordinates' eligibility for PIMS participation. These meetings shall consider all outstanding complaints and investigations, as well as complaints and investigations closed since the last integrity meeting. Also considered shall be patterns involving use of force, sick leave, line-of-duty injuries, narcotics-related possessory offenses, and vehicle accidents that are out of the norm among either personnel in the unit or among the unit's subunits. Commanders/managers shall ensure that confidential minutes of the meetings are taken and retained for a period of five (5) years. Commanders/managers shall take appropriate action on apparent

- patterns of the conduct specified in this paragraph.
- 10. At least annually, commanders shall meet with the IAD to discuss the state of their commands and any potential or actual integrity-control problems within the unit.

  Prior to such meetings, minutes of unit-integrity meetings shall be submitted to the IAD for review. Commanders shall be responsible for developing and documenting plans to ensure the integrity of their units, and for addressing any real or potential problems that may be apparent.
- 11. PIMS information shall be taken into account for promotion, transfer and special assignment, and in connection with annual personnel performance evaluations.
- 12. Actions taken as a result of PIMS review shall be documented in a timely manner.
- 13. Relevant and appropriate PIMS information shall be taken into account in connection with determinations of appropriate discipline for sustained misconduct allegations.
- 14. Notwithstanding other requirements of the policy developed for PIMS, a review meeting involving the member/employee's designated commander/manager shall be held no later than 20 days following notification of the Deputy Chief that the member/employee has met the PIMS criteria.
- 15. The PIMS policy to be developed shall include a provision that a member/employee making unsatisfactory progress within PIMS review may be transferred and/or loaned to another supervisor, another assignment or another Division, at the discretion of the Bureau Chief if the transfer is within his/her Bureau. Inter-Bureau transfers shall be approved by the Chief of Police. In general, when a member/employee is transferred because of unsatisfactory progress, that transfer shall be to a position with little or no citizen contact. Sustained citizens' complaints from incidents subsequent to a member/employee's referral to PIMS shall continue to result in corrective measures; however, such corrective measures shall not

necessarily result in a member/employee's exclusion from, or continued inclusion in
PIMS. The member/employee's exclusion or continued inclusion in PIMS shall be
at the discretion of the Chief of Police or his/her designee and shall be documented.

- 16. In parallel with the PIMS program described above, the Department may wish to continue the Early Intervention Review Panel.
- 17. On a semi-annual basis, beginning within 90 days from the effective date of this Agreement, the Chief of Police, the Bureau of Field Operations Deputy Chief, the commander of IAD, and the commander responsible for the PIMS Administration Unit shall meet with the Monitor to review the operation and progress of the PIMS. At these meetings, OPD administrators shall summarize, for the Monitor, the number of members/employees who have been identified for review, pursuant to the PIMS policy, and the number of members/employees who have been identified for inclusion in the PIMS system pursuant to those reviews. The Department administrators shall also provide data summarizing the number of times that various corrective actions have been taken as a result of PIMS and/or the disciplinary sanctions which been administered. The major objectives of each of these semi-annual meetings shall be consideration of whether the PIMS policy is adequate with regard to detecting patterns of misconduct or poor performance issues as expeditiously as possible and if PIMS reviews are achieving their goals.
- 18. Nothing in this Agreement, and more specifically, no provision of PIMS, shall be construed as waiving, abrogating or in any way modifying the Department's rights with regard to discipline of its members/employees. The Department may choose, at its discretion, to initiate the administrative discipline process, to initiate PIMS review or to use both processes concurrently or consecutively.

#### VIII. FIELD TRAINING PROGRAM

Within 323 days of the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement

a plan to enhance its Field Training Program. This plan shall address the criteria and method for selecting FTOs, the training provided to FTOs to perform their duty, supervision and evaluation of FTOs, the length of time that trainee officers spend in the program, and the methods by which FTOs assess and evaluate trainee officers in field training. The plan must ensure proper reporting, review and approval of probationary officers' reports.

## A. Field Training Program Coordinator

The Chief of Police shall assign a full-time sergeant for the first year who shall develop and implement the new policies and procedures described in this section. The Chief of Police shall determine, upon successful completion of the development and implementation of these policies, if it is necessary to continue the position at the rank of sergeant, but in any event, the position shall continue as a full-time position.

#### B. Trainee Rotation

During their field training, trainee officers shall rotate to a new FTO and a new geographic area of the City at predetermined intervals. Prior to rotation, trainee officers shall be interviewed by the Field Training Program Coordinator or his/her designee and given an opportunity to raise any questions or concerns they may have about the quality of training provided to them.

#### C. FTO Participation Incentives

OPD shall increase the incentives for participation in the FTO program so that the Department will have a larger pool of qualified, experienced candidates from which to choose.

## D. FTO Candidate Nomination and Requirements

FTO candidates shall be nominated by field supervisors and commanders, but shall be approved for assignments to this duty, and for retention in it, by the Chief of Police. All FTO candidates must have completed three (3) years of Departmental service before selection, unless specifically authorized by the Chief of Police. FTO candidates shall be required to demonstrate their commitment to community policing, and their problem- solving and leadership abilities. Ethics, professionalism, relationships with the community, quality of citizen contacts and

6

9 10

8

11

13

14

12

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 |

commitment to OPD philosophy shall be primary criteria in the selection of FTOs. Excessive numbers of citizen complaints, sustained investigations or excessive numbers of use of force incidents shall bar a candidate from selection as an FTO for no less than two (2) years.

#### Ε. Decertification

The presumptive result of sustained disciplinary action against an FTO or the FTO Program Coordinator for excessive force, unlawful arrest, false testimony, racial, ethnic, sexual-orientation or gender-based discrimination or slurs, or other serious examples of police misconduct, shall be removal from the FTO program. The Deputy Chief of the member's chain of command may recommend to the Chief of Police to grant an exception to this presumption after conducting a hearing on the facts of the matter. The Chief of Police shall document the approval/disapproval in writing.

#### F. FTO Assignment

Assignment to an FTO position shall be contingent upon successful completion of a training course designed for this position and shall be approved by OPD and the State of California Peace Officers' Standards and Training.

#### FTO Evaluation G.

At the end of a complete FTO cycle, trainee officers leaving the FTO program shall anonymously evaluate each of their FTOs. OPD shall develop a form for such evaluations which emphasize effectiveness at training and effectiveness at supervision. The evaluation form shall also assess the degree to which the FTO program reflected policies, procedures, values and other information taught in the recruit academy. The FTO evaluation forms shall be reviewed by the Field Training Program Coordinator and the individual FTO's commander and supervisor. The Field Training Program Coordinator shall provide evaluation information to the FTOs as a group, concerning program effectiveness. Each FTO shall also be provided with evaluation information regarding their individual performance. The individual evaluation forms shall not be made available to individual FTOs in the interest of maintaining anonymity of trainee officers who have

## H. <u>Daily Evaluation Audit</u>

4

The Field Training Program Coordinator, or his/her designee, shall conduct random audits of the FTO program to ensure that FTOs complete daily evaluations of trainee officers and that the selection standards for FTOs are maintained.

5

6

## I. <u>Trainee Officer Assignment</u>

7

When a trainee officer's FTO is absent, the trainee officer shall not be assigned to field duties with an "acting" FTO. They shall be placed with another certified FTO, or shall be assigned to non-field duties, pending the availability of a certified FTO.

9

10

# J. Field Commander and FTO Supervisor Training

11

12

OPD shall provide field commanders and supervisors with training on the FTO program, including the field-training curriculum, the role of the FTO, supervision of FTOs and probationary employees, the evaluation process and the individual duties and responsibilities within the FTO

13 14

K.

program.

16

17

15

The Field Training Program Coordinator and Academy staff shall conduct focus groups with randomly selected trainee officers midway through the field-training cycle, upon completion of field training, and six (6) months after completion of the field training program, to determine the extent to which the Academy instructors and curriculum prepared the new officers for their duties.

18 19

20

21

# L. Consistency of Training

**Focus Groups** 

22

Training Division Commander, the FTO Program Coordinator, the BFO Deputy Chief, and the BOS

The results of these focus group sessions shall be reviewed at a meeting to include the

23

Deputy Chief. If it is determined that there is a substantial discrepancy between what is taught in the Academy and what is taught in the FTO program, there shall be a determination as to which is

2425

correct, and either the training Academy or the FTO program shall make the necessary changes so

23

26 that the desired training information is consistent. In the event that the discrepancies appear to be

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

the result of one or more individual FTOs, rather than the FTO program as a whole, the review group shall determine whether the discrepancies are serious enough to warrant removal of that officer or officers from the FTO program. The results of the meeting of this review group shall be documented and this information shall be provided to the Monitor.

#### IX. ACADEMY AND IN-SERVICE TRAINING

#### A. Academy Training Plan

Within 540 days of the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement a plan to enhance its Academy and in-service training to ensure that OPD personnel at all levels are adequately trained for their positions, and aware of and able to implement the most contemporary developments in police training. This plan shall include a review of OPD's training curriculum, with additional emphasis on ethics and professionalism, critical thinking and problem solving, conflict resolution, and relationships with the community. The plan shall also address the criteria and method for selecting OPD training instructors, the training provided to instructors, procedures for evaluating the content and quality of training provided to OPD personnel and procedures for maintaining training records for OPD personnel. In arriving at the plan regarding staffing, training content and methodology, OPD shall consult with at least four (4) other, large law-enforcement agencies within the United States which have excellent reputations for professionalism. In particular, OPD shall consult with these agencies about qualifications and other criteria to be used in selecting staff for training positions. OPD shall also review the approach of these other law enforcement agencies in training both new staff and experienced staff on ethics and professionalism, critical thinking and problem solving, conflict resolution, and relationships with the community.

## B. <u>Professionalism and Ethics</u>

OPD shall expand professionalism and ethics as a training topic within the recruit academy, in-service training, and field training. Wherever possible, OPD shall include and address issues of professionalism and ethics using curricula that employ realistic scenario-based training exercises.

7

8

# D.

9 10

11

12

13 14

## 15

16 17

18

19

### 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

service supervisory and leadership training. Supervisors shall attend training prior to promotion. Commanders shall attend training within six (6) months of promotion. Such training shall include supervisory and command accountability, and ethics and professionalism, with emphasis on supervisory and management functions and situations, and shall include both scenario-based training and case studies.

OPD shall provide all supervisors and commanders/managers with mandatory 40-hour in-

## **In-Service Training**

OPD shall provide all members with forty (40) hours of in-service training every eighteen (18) months.

- 1. Sergeants shall receive at least 20 hours of training designed for supervisors every 18 months.
- Staff at the rank of lieutenant and above shall receive at least 20 hours of training designed for commanders/managers and administrators every 18 months.

#### E. Training Staff Record Review

Appointment to the Academy staff or other staff training position shall also require a review of the record of the individual being considered, to ensure that the individual does not have a record of any Class I offense, as defined in Section III, paragraph H (1), within the prior two (2) years, and that the individual is supportive of the philosophy and values of OPD.

#### X. PERSONNEL PRACTICES

Within 120 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement enhanced personnel policies and practices as follows:

#### Performance Appraisal Policy A.

Performance appraisals shall be written individually for the member/employee being evaluated and shall accurately reflect the quality of each member/employee's performance.

Supervisors and commanders shall document, in performance appraisals, that they 1.

are aware of the nature and progress of complaints and investigations against members/employees, and shall consider such complaints and investigations in their performance appraisal of subordinates.

- 2. Supervisors and commanders shall document, in performance appraisals, that they have carefully monitored members': uses of force; "sick" and "injured" leaves; arrests for narcotics-related possessory offenses not made as a result of searches conducted pursuant to arrests for other offenses; arrests involving charges of Penal Code §§69, 148 and/or 243(b)(c); and vehicle accidents. When appropriate, supervisors and commanders shall be held accountable for having identified and acted upon patterns, among personnel in the unit, involving use of force, sick leave, line-of-duty injuries, narcotics-related possessory offenses, and on-duty vehicle accidents.
- 3. OPD shall use the performance appraisal system to hold PSA lieutenants accountable for whether their subordinate supervisors are working to enhance the quality of community contacts by their beat officers.
- 4. OPD shall conduct regular audits of the performance appraisal system to ensure compliance with the above requirements.
- 5. The immediate supervisor of every member/employee of the Department shall have primary responsibility for conducting and writing the performance appraisal for that member/employee. For example, the patrol sergeant shall be responsible for conducting and writing the performance appraisal for each member/employee he or she supervises. However, every supervisor/manager in that member/employee's direct chain of command, up to and including the Deputy Chief of that Bureau, shall review, sign and date every performance appraisal of every member/employee within his or her command. If the reviewer disagrees, he/she shall write an addendum to the evaluation expressing his/her concerns.
- 6. When a member/employee, during the course of the period being appraised, had substantial collateral duties supervised by someone other than his or her regular and direct supervisor, the other supervisor or manager shall contribute to the performance appraisal by

8

11

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

consulting with the direct immediate supervisor and by, at a minimum, writing a separate narrative evaluation that shall be signed, dated and included as a regular part of the performance appraisal. Similarly, when a member/employee has been supervised by two (2) or more individuals during the course of the appraisal period, because of transfer of the member/employee or the supervisor, primary responsibility for the performance appraisal shall be in accordance with the provisions of Departmental General Order B-6, "Performance Appraisal." In the case of a promotion, the promotee's new supervisor shall be responsible for the evaluation.

#### В. **Consistency-of-Discipline Policy**

OPD shall revise and update its disciplinary policy to ensure that discipline is imposed in a fair and consistent manner.

- The policy shall describe the circumstances in which disciplinary action is 1. appropriate and those in which Division-level corrective action is appropriate.
- 2. The policy shall establish a centralized system for documenting and tracking all forms of discipline and corrective action, whether imposed centrally or at the Division level.
- Class I investigations which result in a sustained finding shall be submitted to the subject's accountable commander/manager for a disciplinary recommendation. The Chief of Police may convene a meeting of commanders/managers in the affected chain-of-command for a confidential discussion of the misconduct taking into consideration the member/employee's overall performance.
- Class II offenses investigated at the Division level which result in a sustained finding 4. shall be corrected through progressive discipline so as to address overall performance deficiencies. Before recommending corrective actions, the designated commander/manager shall review the sustained person's prior history of disciplinary and corrective actions to determine if there is an indication of a pattern of unacceptable behavior. If the review does not indicate a pattern of unacceptable behavior, the designated commander/ manager may choose to counsel the member or employee, send the member or employee for retraining, or issue a "Performance Deficiency

23

24

25

26

Notice." If the review indicates a pattern of unacceptable behavior, then the designated commander/manager shall notify his/her immediate superior that a higher level of discipline is recommended and shall discuss the appropriate level of that discipline to correct the pattern.

#### C. Promotional Consideration

- 1. Sustained misconduct cases against a member/employee shall be an important factor in determining promotability. There shall be a presumptive ineligibility for promotion for 12 months following the sustained finding of a Class I offense as defined in Section III, paragraph H(1). Such cases shall be considered important in evaluating promotability for three (3) years following the completion of the investigation.
- 2. In addition to other factors, the Chief of Police shall consider the following criteria in making promotional determinations:
  - a. Commitment to community policing;
  - b. Quality of citizen contacts;
  - c. Number of citizen complaints;
  - d. Instances of unnecessary use of force;
  - e. Support for Departmental integrity measures.

#### XI. COMMUNITY POLICING PLAN

Within 138 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement a plan to strengthen its commitment to relationships with local communities including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. OPD shall host at least one (1) community meeting per quarter in each Patrol Service Area.
- 2. Each patrol supervisor, and officer assigned to a regular beat or geographic area of the City, shall attend a minimum of one (1) community meeting per quarter in the Area he/she is regularly assigned.
- 3. OPD shall develop mechanisms to measure its community policing and problem

solving activities.

- 4. OPD shall incorporate positive statistics on community policing and problem solving activities in "Crime-Stop" meetings, along with information on citizen complaints and use of force incidents.
- 5. The appropriate Departmental personnel shall arrange a meeting within 60 days unless not feasible with representatives of an established organization active within Oakland (PUEBLO, ACLU, NAACP, etc.), community groups or church groups, if an organization communicates a concern regarding specific police personnel or practices.

# XII. DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND ANNUAL MANAGEMENT REPORT

Within 116 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall develop and implement a policy requiring each functional unit of OPD to prepare a management report every 12 months. The division commanders individually shall meet with the Chief of Police and their respective Deputy Chief to thoroughly review the management report of that division. These management reports shall include relevant operating data and also highlight ongoing or extraordinary problems and noteworthy accomplishments.

#### XIII. INDEPENDENT MONITORING

# A. Monitor Selection and Compensation

1. Within 60 days after entry of this Agreement, the City and plaintiffs' counse shall mutually select a Monitor, subject to the approval of the Court, who shall review and report on OPD's implementation of, and assist with OPD's compliance with this Agreement. The selection of the Monitor shall be pursuant to a method jointly established by the plaintiffs' counsel and the City. In selecting the Monitor, plaintiffs' counsel and the City recognize the importance of ensuring that the fees and costs borne by the City are reasonable, and, accordingly, fees and costs shall be one factor considered in selecting the Monitor.

- 2. The maximum sum to be paid the Monitor, including any additional persons he or she may associate pursuant to Section XIII, paragraph C (1)(2) (excluding reasonable costs or fees associated with non-compliance or breach of the Agreement by the City or the Department), shall be set forth in a contract between the City and the Monitor and approved by the City Council. The contract amount shall be calculated to fairly and reasonably compensate the Monitor for accomplishing the tasks and responsibilities set forth in this Agreement. The maximum amount specified in the contract will not exceed four million dollars (\$4,000,000.00) for the entire five years of the implementation of the Settlement Agreement. Should the monitoring be extended for an additional period of time, the compensation will be renegotiated subject to the approval of the City Council.
- 3. If the plaintiffs' counsel and City are unable to agree on a Monitor, or on an alternative method of selection, the plaintiffs' counsel and the City each shall submit to the Court no more than two (2) names of persons who shall have the following attributes:
  - a. A reputation for integrity, even-handedness and independence;
  - b. Experience as a law enforcement officer, expertise in law enforcement practices, or experience as a law enforcement practices monitor;
  - c. An absence of bias, including any appearance of bias, for or against the plaintiffs, the City, the Department, or their officers or employees; and
  - d. No personal involvement, in the last five (5) years, whether paid or unpaid, with a claim or lawsuit against the City or the Department, or any of their officers, agents or employees, unless waived by the parties, which waiver shall not be unreasonably withheld.

To assist the Court in selecting the Monitor when there is a disputed selection as above, the City and the plaintiffs' counsel shall submit to the Court the resumes, cost proposals, and other relevant information for such persons demonstrating the above qualifications, and the Court shall appoint the Monitor from among the names of qualified persons so submitted.

#### B. Period and Appointment

The Monitor shall be appointed for a period of five (5) years, but in no circumstances to exceed seven (7) years past the date on which this Agreement was entered by the Court by the agents of the plaintiffs and the agents of the City. The extension of the Monitor beyond five years shall be allowed only if the Court determines that it is reasonably necessary in order for the Monitor to fulfill his/her duties pursuant to this Agreement.

## C. Staffing

- 1. The Monitor may associate such additional persons or entities as are reasonably necessary to perform the monitoring tasks specified in this Agreement. Any additional persons or entities associated by the Monitor shall possess the following attributes: a reputation for integrity, even-handedness and independence; an absence of bias, including any appearance of bias, for or against the plaintiffs, the City, the Department, or their members or employees; and no personal involvement in the last five (5) years, whether paid or unpaid, with a claim or lawsuit against the City or the Department or any of their officers, agents or employees unless waived by the parties, which waiver shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- 2. The Monitor shall notify the City and the Court if and when such additional persons or entities are selected for association by the Monitor. The notice shall identify the person or entity to be associated and the monitoring task to be performed, and, if a waiver is being requested, the notice shall indicate if the person had any such involvement in the last five (5) years, whether paid or unpaid, with a claim or lawsuit against the City or the Department, or any of their members, agents, or employees. Either the plaintiffs' counsel or the City may notify the Monitor, in writing, within 10 days (excluding weekends, and federal or state holidays) of any objection either may have to the selection. If the parties and the Monitor are unable to resolve any such objection, and the Monitor believes that the specific person or entity in question is needed to assist the Monitor, and such person or entity satisfies the qualifications and requirements in this paragraph, the Monitor may seek Court authorization to hire such person. For purposes of all paragraphs of this

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

Agreement, other than the preceding paragraph, the term Monitor shall include any and all persons or entities that the Monitor associates to perform monitoring tasks, and such persons shall be subject to the same provisions applicable to the Monitor under this Agreement.

#### D. Replacement of Monitor

Should any of the parties to this Agreement determine that the Monitor, and/or his/her agents, employees, independent contractors, has exceeded his/her authority or failed to satisfactorily perform or fulfill his/her duties under this Agreement, the party may petition the Court for such relief as the Court deems appropriate, including replacement of the Monitor and/or his/her agents, employees and/or independent contractors.

#### City-Provided Office Space, Services and Equipment Ε.

The City shall provide the Monitor and any staff of the Monitor with office space, which may be in the Police Department or within other City offices, and with reasonable office support such as telephones, access to fax and photocopying, etc. The City and OPD shall bear all reasonable fees and costs for the Monitor. The Court retains the authority to resolve any dispute that may arise regarding the reasonableness of fees and costs charged by the Monitor.

#### F. **Resolving Monitor Fee Disputes**

In the event that any dispute arises regarding the payment of the Monitor's fees and costs, the City, plaintiffs' counsel and the Monitor shall attempt to resolve such dispute cooperatively, prior to seeking the Court's assistance.

#### G. Responsibilities and Authority

The Monitor shall be the agent of the Court and shall be subject to the supervision and orders of the Court, consistent with this Agreement. The Monitor shall have only the duties, responsibilities and authority conferred by this Agreement. The role of the Monitor shall be to assess and evaluate compliance with the provisions of the Agreement. The Monitor shall not, and is not intended to, replace or take over the role or duties of the Chief of Police or other police or City officials. The Monitor shall offer the City and OPD technical assistance regarding compliance with

and implementing the Agreement.

### H. Required Audits, Reviews and Evaluations

In order to report on OPD's implementation and compliance with the provisions of this Agreement, the Monitor shall conduct audits, reviews and evaluations, in addition to any others deemed relevant by the Monitor, of the following:

- OPD policies and procedures established to implement the Agreement, to ensure that
  these policies and procedures are consistent with both the purposes of this
  Agreement and, as reasonably practicable, the best practices in law enforcement.
- 2. All completed and pending internal affairs proceedings and files except investigator[s] notes while the investigation is open.
- 3. Policy and procedures used by OPD for Internal Affairs misconduct investigations, including a review of an appropriate sample of closed IA cases; assess and evaluate the quality and timeliness of the investigations; recommend reopening of investigations that the Monitor determines to be incomplete; recommend additional measures that should be taken with respect to future investigations in order to satisfy this Agreement; and review and evaluate disciplinary actions or other interventions taken as a result of misconduct investigations.
- 4. Quality and timeliness, from appropriate samples, of OPD use of force incident reports and use of force (K-4) investigations; review and evaluation of actions of OPD's Use of Force (K-4) Board and Firearms-Discharge Board of Review (K-3); and review and evaluation of disciplinary actions or other interventions taken as a result of use of force investigations or K-3 and K-4 Board reviews.
- 5. If the Monitor determines that any use of force investigation or internal (IAD or Division-level) investigation/report which has been adjudicated or otherwise disposed or completed, is inadequate under this Agreement, the Monitor shall confer with the Chief of Police, IAD Commander and the Inspector General, and provide a

:	-

reports more frequently if the Monitor determines it appropriate to do so. These reports shall not

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### J. Meetings

During the first year of this Agreement, the Monitor shall conduct monthly meetings 1. that shall include representatives of OPD, the City Attorney's Office, the City Manager's Office, the Oakland Police Officers' Association, and plaintiffs' counsel. These meetings may be continued beyond the first year at the request of the parties to this Agreement. The purpose of these meetings is to ensure effective and timely communication between the Monitor, OPD, the City Attorney's Office, the City Manager's Office, the Oakland Police Officers' Association and plaintiffs' counsel regarding the development of procedures and policies under the Agreement, implementation, compliance and information-access issues. Throughout the duration of this Agreement, directives, policies and procedures developed by OPD pursuant to this Agreement shall be provided to plaintiffs' counse for review and comment as a part of the Department's existing staffing process. Written comments may be returned to the Department by the specified deadline, or verbal comments may be given at the monthly meetings.

include information specifically identifying any individual member/employee. Before issuing a

report, the Monitor shall provide to the parties a draft for review to determine if any factual errors

have been made, and shall consider the parties' responses; the Monitor shall then promptly issue the

posting on the Department's web site, unless the Court orders that the reports or any portions of the

reports should remain confidential. In addition, public disclosure of the reports and any information

The Monitor shall also convene meetings with representatives of OPD, City Attorney's Office, City Manager's Office, the Oakland Police Officers' Association and plaintiffs' sounsel to provide a forum for the discussion and comment of the Monitor's reports before the reports are issued to the Court. The plaintiffs' counsel and their retained experts and/or consultants shall be compensated by the City up to but not to exceed Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000); this amount includes all fees and costs over the duration of this Agreement for their participation in the

4

8

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

review of policies called for in this Agreement. The plaintiffs' counsel shall submit to the City, on an annual basis during the duration of the Agreement, a statement of such fees and costs.

#### K. Access and Limitations to OPD Documentation and Staff

- 1. By policy, OPD personnel shall be required to cooperate fully with the Monitor and to provide access to information and personnel in a timely fashion. The Monitor shall have the right to interview any member/employee of OPD pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement.
- 2. Except as restricted below, the City and OPD shall provide the Monitor with full and unrestricted access to all OPD staff, facilities and non-privileged documents (including databases) necessary to carry out the duties assigned to the Monitor in a timely fashion. The Monitor shall have the right to interview any member/employee of OPD pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement. The Monitor shall cooperate with the City and the Department to access personnel and facilities in a reasonable manner that, consistent with the Monitor's responsibilities, minimizes interference with daily operations. This right of access shall include all documents regarding use of force data, policies and analyses. The Monitor shall provide the City or Department with reasonable notice of a request for copies of documents. Upon such request, the City and the Department shall provide the Monitor with copies (electronic, where readily available, or hardcopy) of any documents to which the Monitor is entitled access under this Agreement. The Monitor shall maintain all documents obtained from the City, OPD or the plaintiffs' counsel in a confidential manner and shall not disclose non-public information to any person or entity other than the Court or the parties, absent written notice to the City and either consent by the City or a Court order authorizing disclosure.
- 3. The Monitor shall have access to OPD personnel medical records, generally, if permission for such access is granted by the applicable member/employee, or the information from such records is otherwise contained in investigative files.
- For any other OPD personnel medical records reasonably necessary to carry out the 4. duties assigned to the Monitor by this Agreement, the Monitor shall notify the Court and the City in

writing of the need for such documents, and the City shall so notify the affected member/employee. The Court, the City, or the affected member/employee may, and the City if requested by the affected member/employee shall, notify the Monitor in writing within 10 days (excluding weekends, and federal or state holidays) of any objection they may have to such access. If the parties, the Monitor and, where applicable, the affected member/employee are unable to resolve any such objection, and the Monitor continues to believe that the documents in question are reasonably necessary to assist the Monitor, the Monitor may seek Court authorization for access to such documents, subject to any appropriate protective orders. The City shall assert applicable defenses and privileges from disclosure and protections of such records for the City and the affected member/employee. Any documents obtained by this procedure shall be treated as confidential.

## L. <u>Limitations to Personal and Confidential Information</u>

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to require disclosure of strictly personal information not material to implementation of this Agreement. Personal information includes, but is not limited to, background investigations, personal financial information other than compensation paid by the City, personal medical (including psychological) information, and residential or marital information. The Monitor shall not access attorney-client privileged information or work-product information. If the City or OPD objects to the access to any material, the City shall state why the material is not relevant, or that the information is privileged or otherwise confidential, and shall provide a privilege log. The City and OPD acknowledge that in order to evaluate the performance appraisal system, the disciplinary system for staff, the PIMS system, IAD investigations and other aspects of OPD, the Monitor will need substantial access to information about individual members, information about situations which may be currently in litigation or which may be the subject of future litigation, and information related to ongoing criminal investigations and prosecutions to the extent that disclosures of such information to the Monitor may not compromise or may not reasonably tend to compromise the integrity of the pending criminal investigation. If, after efforts among the parties to resolve the disagreement, the objection remains, the Court shall make the final

### M. Access to Criminal Investigation Files

- 1. The Monitor shall have direct access to all documents in criminal investigation files that have been closed by OPD. The Monitor shall also have direct access to all arrest reports, warrants and warrant applications, whether or not contained in open criminal investigation files; where practicable, arrest reports, warrants and warrant applications shall be obtained from sources other than open criminal investigation files.
- 2. The Monitor shall have access to documents containing confidential information prepared for and contained solely in open criminal investigations of OPD personnel reasonably necessary to monitor compliance with this Agreement (other than arrest reports, warrants and warrant applications which shall be subject to the general access provisions).
  - 3. If the Monitor reasonably deems that access to documents contained solely in either:
    - a. Open criminal investigation files, which investigations have been open for more than ten months; or
      - Open criminal investigation files of OPD personnel, which investigations have been open for less than ten months, is necessary to carry out the duties assigned to the Monitor by this Agreement, the Monitor shall notify the Court and the City, in writing, of the need for such documents. After notification by the Monitor, either the Court or the City may respond in writing to the Monitor within ten days (excluding weekends, and federal or state holidays), should either have any objection to such access. If the parties and the Monitor are unable to resolve any such objection, and the Monitor continues to believe that the documents in question are reasonably necessary to assist the Monitor, the Monitor may seek Court authorization for access to such documents, subject to any appropriate protective orders. Any documents obtained by this procedure shall be treated as confidential.

h.

\_\_

#### N. Access to Intelligence Files

The access provisions of the previous paragraphs do not apply to documents contained solely in Anti-Terrorist files, or solely in Intelligence files, or Investigative Notes files or similar files in joint task forces with other law enforcement agencies.

#### O. Access to "Whistle Blowers"

The Monitor shall have full access to any "whistle blower" who wishes to communicate with the Monitor. The Monitor shall be informed of any and all "whistle blower" reports made by such OPD personnel. The Monitor shall not be given the name of any OPD member/employee who uses the confidential reporting process described above and who indicates that he or she does not want their names given to the Monitor.

#### P. Testimony

The Monitor shall be an agent of the Court and may testify in this case regarding any matter relating to the implementation, enforcement or dissolution of the Agreement. The Monitor shall not testify and/or respond to subpoenas or documents in other matters relating to the City and OPD, except as required or authorized by the Court. The Monitor shall not be retained by any current or future litigant or claimant in a claim or suit against the City and its employees.

## Q. Confidential Records Maintenance

The records maintained by the Monitor shall not be deemed public records. All documents, records, computerized data, and copies of any reports or other information provided to the monitor, as well as any reports, memoranda or other information produced by the monitor, shall be maintained for a period of 12 years following the entry of this Agreement.

## R. Court Resolution of Disputes

In the event the Monitor reports that the duties and the responsibilities of the Monitor, as specified in this Agreement, cannot be carried out because of lack of cooperation, failure to provide appropriate data and documents otherwise called for in this Agreement, lack of timely response or other forms of unwarranted delays from OPD or the City, the Court may impose

such remedies as it deems just and necessary. Plaintiffs' counsel may bring motions based on their belief that the City or OPD is failing to comply with the provisions of this Agreement. The City may also bring motions to amend the Agreement, should it determine such changes are necessary to achieve the overall purposes of the Agreement. Before any such motions are brought, the parties shall meet and confer following the exchange of a letter brief. Should it be necessary to continue the meet and confer process, the parties may request mediation before Magistrate Judge Larson, another Magistrate Judge mutually requested, or another Magistrate Judge as designated by the Court. The Court shall hold hearings on such matters and, if plaintiffs prevail, plaintiffs' counsel shall be entitled to their costs and legal fees. Should the plaintiffs not prevail, the standards set forth in FRCP Rule 11 and 42 USC Section 1988 shall apply so as to determine if the City shall be entitled to an award of fees and costs. Additionally, in the event of substantial and/or chronic noncompliance with provisions of this Agreement, the Court may impose such sanctions and/or remedies as it deems just and necessary, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees.

## S. <u>Petitions for Relief</u>

At any time during the pendency of this Agreement, the City may petition the Court for relief from any provisions of this Agreement. However, such relief shall not be granted unless the City demonstrates that all good faith efforts have been undertaken to comply with the subject provision, that the provision is inconsistent with the overall purposes of the Agreement, and that implementation of the provision is operationally and/or fiscally onerous or impracticable.

#### XIV. COMPLIANCE UNIT

# A. <u>Compliance Unit Liaison Policy</u>

Within 30 days from the effective date of this Agreement, OPD shall hire and retain, or reassign current OPD members/employees, to serve as an OPD Compliance Unit for the duration of this Agreement. The Compliance Unit shall serve as the liaison between OPD, the Monitor and the plaintiffs' counsel, and shall assist with OPD's compliance with the Agreement. Among other things, the Compliance Unit shall:

Facilitate the provision of data and documents:

steps taken by OPD to comply with the provisions of this Agreement. Commencing within 120 days

1.

1

from the effective date of this Agreement, these reports shall be filed twice annually, at six (6) month intervals, until this Agreement is terminated.

2. During the term of this Agreement, the City and OPD shall maintain all records necessary to document compliance with the Agreement.

#### B. Implementation and Jurisdiction

- 1. This Agreement shall become effective on the date of entry by the Court. The implementation of the provisions of this Agreement is as specified in each provision.
- 2. All deadlines stated in this document are to be calculated as business days, not calendar days, unless otherwise specified. The deadlines, specified in Section XV, paragraph C (Meet and Confer), are to be calculated as calendar days. The calculation of days in the Settlement Agreement will be based on the Federal Court calendar referencing holidays. The deadlines provided for implementation specified in the Settlement Agreement are mandatory deadlines and failure to meet these deadlines will result in the City being deemed out of compliance unless the Monitor and or the Court deems otherwise. Appended to this Agreement is the Department's Business Implementation Plan. The interim dates specified in this Plan are recommended dates to assist the Department's critical path planning of the overall implementation of the reforms. These interim dates may be adjusted based on operational efficiencies and budgetary restraints.
- 3. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action, for all purposes, during the term of this Agreement. This Agreement shall remain in effect for five (5) years following the entry by the Court, but shall, under no circumstances, exceed seven (7) years. Without further action, the Agreement shall terminate five (5) years from the effective date, unless the Monitor reports to the Court that an extension of time, not to exceed two (2) years, is reasonably necessary to serve the purposes of the Agreement. The City may contest the extension, by motion to be heard by the Court, no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the Agreement. The City may present evidence to the Court in support of the motion. At such hearing, the City has the burden to establish substantial compliance with the Agreement during the five-year period. "Substantial compliance" is

defined, for the purposes of this Agreement, as meaning that OPD has complied with the material provisions of the Agreement. Materiality is determined by reference to the overall objectives of the Agreement. Non-compliance with technicalities or, otherwise, minor failures to comply while generally complying with the Agreement, shall not be deemed failure to substantially comply with the Agreement.

- 4. The City and the plaintiffs may jointly stipulate, by and through their counsel of record, to make changes, modifications and amendments to this Agreement. Such stipulations shall be reported to the Monitor and are subject to the approval of the Court.
- 5. If any term or provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be found to be void, invalid, illegal or unenforceable by the Court, notwithstanding such determination, such term or provision shall remain in force and effect to the extent allowed by such ruling. In addition, notwithstanding such determination, all other terms and provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 6. The City shall not be deemed to be in violation of any provision of this Agreement by reason of the failure to perform any of its obligations hereunder to the extent that such failure is due to unforeseen circumstances. "Unforeseen circumstances" include conditions not reasonably foreseeable by the City at the time the Agreement was executed: acts of God, catastrophic weather conditions, riots, insurrection, war, acts of a court of competent jurisdiction or any similar circumstance for which the City is not responsible and which is not within the City's control. Delays caused by unforeseen circumstances shall reasonably extend the time of compliance. The City may seek from the Court a reasonable extension of time to comply with the provision of the Agreement, or other relief, as soon as practicable, but no later than 45 days of the time the City becomes aware of the unforeseen circumstances. The City shall issue a notice to the Court, Monitor and plaintiffs' counsel. The notice shall include a description of the unforeseen circumstances and the steps taken to minimize the risk of non-compliance.
  - 7. If any unforeseen circumstance occurs which causes a failure to timely carry out any

requirements of this Agreement, the City shall notify the Court and plaintiffs' counsel in writing within 20 calendar days of the time that the City becomes aware of the unforeseen circumstance and its impact on the City's ability to perform under the Agreement. The notice shall describe the cause of the failure to perform and the measures taken to prevent or minimize the failure. The City shall implement all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize any such failure.

8. If plaintiffs' counsel and the City agree or the Court determines that delay in meeting any schedule or obligation in this Agreement has been caused by unforeseen circumstances then, subject to the provisions of Section XV, paragraph B (4), the time for performance shall be extended for a period up to that equal to such delay.

### C. <u>Meet-and-Confer Process</u>

- 1. As part of any meet-and-confer or consulting process demanded by OPD member/employee bargaining units, as described in Section I, paragraph G, the City shall discuss and seek to resolve with those OPD member/employee bargaining units any disputes or uncertainties regarding which provisions are subject to such process. The City shall identify and provide to the OPD member/employee bargaining units the provisions of this Agreement such as it believes are subject to the process being demanded. Within 30 days of the date of the completion of the meet-and-confer process, the City shall report to the Court the results of any such discussion on this question. In the event that the City and the OPD member/employee bargaining units are unable to resolve the list of the provisions of the Agreement which are subject to the meet-and-confer process, the City shall seek declaratory relief from this Court to resolve such issue, provided that the OPD member/employee bargaining units shall receive notice and an opportunity to be heard by the Court on this issue.
- 2. Following the resolution of any dispute or uncertainty regarding the issues subject to a demanded process, the City shall continue with that process. The City shall report to the Court on the progress of such process. The reports shall include:
  - a. Proposed agreements with the OPD member/employee bargaining units

12

13 14

1516

17 18

19

20

2122

24

23

25

relating to provisions of this Agreement as they are resolved by the City arising from the meet-and-confer process as they are determined, and

- b. A list of provisions identified, pursuant to paragraph (1) of this Section, such as are scheduled for implementation within 45 days.
- 3. With regard to a matter that is not a mandatory subject of collective bargaining, the City shall not propose or enter into any such agreement with OPD member/employee bargaining units that will adversely affect the City's timely implementation of this Agreement. With regard to all such agreements with the OPD member/employee bargaining units, the City shall not make them effective before the expiration of 45 days after such proposed agreement is reported to the Court. The time for implementation of any provisions of this Agreement affected by such agreement with the OPD member/employee bargaining units, concerning a mandatory subject of bargaining, shall be extended for such 45-day period. If the Court determines that implementation of such proposed agreement would not significantly impact the City's ability to implement the affected provision(s) of this Agreement, the Court shall waive some or all of such 45-day period, and the City shall initiate such implementation. If such determination is not made, the parties shall discuss appropriate clarifications or modifications to this Agreement. Where the parties believe that a modification of this Agreement is appropriate, they shall present such modification to the Court for its consideration. The implementation date for the affected provision(s) of this Agreement shall be extended while the matter is before the Court, unless the Court orders earlier implementation. Any motion concerning a proposed bargaining agreement with the OPD member/employee bargaining units, pertaining to the provisions of this Agreement, shall be brought during the 45-day period.
- 4. In the event that the City believes the meet-and-confer process, consultation, or any such proposed agreement or resolution of a dispute with OPD member/employee bargaining units resulting from the meet-and-confer process, will impair the City's ability to timely implement one or more provisions of this Agreement, and the OPD member/employee bargaining units and the City are unable to agree upon or reach an appropriate resolution, then the City shall so report to the

26 | 7.

Court and shall seek appropriate declaratory or injunctive relief (including specific performance) on such provision(s). The plaintiffs' counsel also may seek relief from the Court in the event that the plaintiffs' counsel believe the meet-and-confer process, consultation, or any such proposed agreements or resolution of disputes with OPD member/employee bargaining units will impair the City's ability timely to implement one or more provisions of this Agreement, and the plaintiffs' counsel and the City are unable to agree on an appropriate resolution. Any such motion shall demonstrate the ways in which the City would be so impaired.

- 5. In ruling on a motion under Section I, paragraph G, or in regard to any meet and confer issue identified pursuant to Section XV, paragraphs C (1), (2) and (3), the Court shall consider, *inter alia*, whether the City's proposed agreements, or the resolution of disputes with OPD member/employee bargaining units which address provision(s) of this Agreement, are consistent with the objectives underlying such provision(s), and whether the City has satisfied its labor relations obligations under state and local law. On any such motion, if the City has engaged in good faith efforts (including consideration of the manner in which the City carried out any applicable meet-and-confer or consulting obligations) to be able to implement this Agreement in a timely manner, the City:
  - a. Shall not be in contempt or liable for any other penalties, and
  - b. May be potentially held in breach for such provision(s) only for the limited purpose of the issuance of declaratory or injunctive remedies (including specific performance), but may not be regarded as in breach for any other purpose.
- 6. If there is a significant change in a state law that impairs or impedes the City's ability to implement this Agreement, then each of the parties reserves the right to seek declaratory relief or other relief from the Court regarding implementation of the affected provisions of this Agreement in light of the change in state law.
  - 7. The parties agree to defend this Agreement. The parties shall notify each other of

1	any Court or administrative challenge to this Agreement. In the event any provision of this
2	Agreement is challenged in any local or state court, the parties may seek removal of the action to a
3	federal court.
4	///
5	///
6	///
7	///
8	///
9	///
10	
11	///
12	///
13	
14	///
15	
16	///
17	///
18	///
	///
20	///
21	/// ·
	///
	///
26	

1	Dated: 21 January 2003	JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney
2		By:
3		Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF OAKLAND
4	Dated: 14 www. 2/ 1000	RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Assistant City Attorney
5	Dated: January 21, 2003	RAIVEDOLI II W. HALL, Chief Assistant City Attorney
6		By: Nandorh L. Velf Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF OAKLAND
7	1	Allomeys loy Delendani, CTT T OF OAKLAND
8	Dated: January 21, 2003	CLAUDIA LEED, Senior Deputy City Attorney
9		Du de la Ter
10		Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF OAKLAND
11		
12	Dated: ) CN 22 2003	BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT
13		By: Mer motify
14		Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF OAKLAND
15	Dated: January 22, 2003	SIMONCINI & ASSOCIATES
16	Dated: January 22, 2003	
17		Attorneys for Defendanty CITY OF OAKLAND
18		
19	1. 01 2012	Adent Bell
20	Dated: 3003 -	ROBERT C. BOBB, CITY MANAGER CITY OF OAKLAND
21		
22	_ 4 _ ~>	Her od
23	Dated: 2/ 74~ 55	RICHARD L. WORD, CHIEF OF POLICE OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT
24		
25		
26		

1 2 3	Dated:	1/22/03	JOHN L. BURRIS, Attorney for Plaintiffs
4	Dated:	1/20/ -	LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN
5	Dated.	1/22/03	LAW OFFICES OF JAINES B. CHAININ
7			LAMES B. CHANIN, Attorney for Plaintiffs
8			
9	Dated:	1/18/039	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT
10		1/18/2 Sox	OL FRAN
11			JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT, Attorney for Plaintiffs
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

# ORDER Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED. United States District Judge

# APPENDIX

# RIDERS' PATTERN AND PRACTICE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT APPENDIX C00-4599 TEH (JL) Delphine Allen, et. al., v. City of Oakland, et. al.

ID	Paragrap	Reform Measures	Duration	Start	Finish
1		Settlement Agreement Signed	0 days	Wed 1/22/03	Wed 1/22/03
2	XIII A	Monitor Selection and Compensation	<u>60 days</u>	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 4/15/03
4		INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION TASKS	616 days	Tue 4/15/03	Wed 8/24/05
5	Щ	IAD Staffing and Resources	390 days	Tue 4/15/03	Tue 10/12/04
22	III B 1	Timeliness Standards and Compliance with IAD Investigations	60 davs	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 7/8/03
24	III C	IAD Integrity Tests	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
26	III D	Complaint Control System for IAD	90 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 8/19/03
28	III E 2 a	Complaint Procedures for IAD	150 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 11/11/03
38	HILF	Refusal to Accept or Refer Citizen Complaint	390 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 10/12/04
53	III G	Methods for Receiving Citizen Complaints	180 days	Tue 4/15/03	Tue 12/23/03
54	III G 5	Move IAD to off-site facility	135 days	Tue 4/15/03	Tue 10/21/03
60	ш 32	Post complaint guidelines in prominent City locations	30 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 5/27/03
61	шоі	Establish toll-free telephone number	30 days	Wed 10/22/03	Tue 12/2/03
62	III G 6	Translating and distributing complaint forms and brochures	180 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 12/23/03
70	шн	Classifications of Citizen Complaints	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
72	II). I	Contact of Citizen Complainant	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
74	IJI	Procedure Manual for Investigation of Citizen Complaints	616 days	Wed 4/16/03	Wed 8/24/05
89	шк	Summary of Citizen Complaint Provided to OPD Personnel	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
91	III L	Disciosure of Possible Investigator Bias	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
93	III M	Documentation of Pitchess Responses	180 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 12/23/03
95	III N	Investigation of Allegations of MOR Violations Resulting from Lawsuits and Legal Claim	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
97	III O	Reviewing Findings and Disciplinary Recommendations	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
99	III P	Supporting IAD Process – Supervisor/Managerial Accountability	0 days	Tue 10/12/04	Tue 10/12/04
101	ХВ	Consistency of Discipline Policy (Formerly Task 15)	290 days	Wed 4/16/03	Tue 5/25/04
104		BUREAU OF SERVICES TASKS	635 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 6/28/05
105	VA	Policy And Procedures for Use of Force Notification and Reporting	390 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 7/20/04
115	V B	Use of Force Investigation and Report Responsibility	96 days	Tue 7/20/04	Wed 12/1/04
121	V C	Use of Force Review Board (UFRB)	30 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 3/4/03
125	V G	Discharge of Firearms Board of Review	30 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 3/4/03
128	VI	-	154 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 8/25/03
150	VII A	Reporting Procedures	635 days	Wed 1/22/03 Wed 1/22/03	Tue 6/28/05
151		Personnel Information Management System (PIMS)		Wed 1/22/03 Wed 1/22/03	Tue 5/27/0
	VIIBI	Designate PIMS Administrative Unit	90 days		Tue 5/27/03
152	VII B 17	Semi-annual PIMS Review meetings start	90 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 6/29/04
153	VII B	Policy Development Phase	375 davs	Wed 1/22/03	
160		PIMS System Development Phase	405 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 8/10/04
173	,,,,, _	PIMS Integration Phase	230 days	Wed 8/11/04	Tue 6/28/05
181	VII B	Use of PIMS	0 days	Tue 6/29/04	Tue 6/29/0
183	VIII A	Field Training Program Coordinator	323 days	Wed 1/22/03	Fri 4/16/0
191	IX A	Academy Training Plan	540 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 2/15/05
198	X	Personnel Practices	120 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 7/8/03
206	XII	Department Management and Annual Management Report	116 days	Wed 1/22/03	Wed 7/2/03
209		BUREAU OF FIELD OPERATIONS TASKS	260 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 1/20/0
210	IV	Supervisory Span of Control and Unity of Command	260 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 1/20/0
211	IV B	Unity of Command	180 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 9/30/0
212	IV A	Approval of Field-Arrest by Supervisor	49 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 3/31/0
221	IN C	Span of Control for Supervisors	00 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 5/27/03
233	IV D	Members, Employee, and Supervisors Performance Review	56 days	Wed 1/22/03	Wed 4/9/03

# RIDERS' PATTERN AND PRACTICE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT APPENDIX C00-4599 TEH (JL) Delphine Allen, et. al., v. City of Oakland, et. al.

ID	Paragrap	Reform Measures	Duration	Start	Finish
242	I V	Use of Camcorders	260 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 1/20/04
243	VI B	Vehicle Stops, Field Investigation, and Detentions	59 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 4/14/03
252	ıx [	Community Policing Plan	138 davs	Wed 1/22/03	Fri 8/1/03
261	1.	OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL TASKS	682 days	Wed 1/22/03	Thu 9/1/05
262	XIV A	Compliance Unit Liaison Policy	30 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 3/4/03
263	XIV B	Compliance Audits and Integrity Tests	682 days	Wed 1/22/03	Thu 9/1/05
264	III C	Integrity Tests	112 days	Wed 10/13/04	Thu 3/17/05
288	XIV B	Compliance Audits	682 days	Wed 1/22/03	Thu 9/1/05
467	XIV A	Semiannual Reporting	120 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 7/8/03
479	†	BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION TASKS	174 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 9/22/03
480	IVE	OPD/DA Liaison Commander	<u>60 days</u>	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 4/15/03
483	IVF	Command Staff Rotation	30 days	Wed 1/22/03	Tue 3/4/03
485	VD	Oleoresin Capsicum Log & Checkout Procedures	87 days	Wed 1/22/03	Thu 5/22/03
518	VE	Use of Force - Investigation of Criminal Misconduct	174 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 9/22/03
535	VF	IAD Investigation Priority	56 days	Wed 1/22/03	Wed 4/9/03
548	νH	Officer Involved Shooting Investigation	174 days	Wed 1/22/03	Mon 9/22/03